

## No-Till vs Strip-Till vs Strip-Till + Fertilizer on Soybeans

**Study ID:** 709047201702

**County:** Dawson

**Soil Type:** Cozad silt loam 0-1% slope; Rusco silt loam 0-1% slope

**Planting Date:** 5/14/17

**Harvest Date:** 10/13/17

**Population:** 130,000

**Row Spacing (in):** 30

**Variety:** Pioneer 27T59R

**Reps:** 4

**Previous Crop:** Corn followed by winter wheat cover crop

**Herbicides:** *Pre:* 22 oz/ac Roundup PowerMAX® and 3 oz/ac Enlite® on 5/24/17 *Post:* 22 oz/ac Roundup PowerMAX®, 1.5 qt/ac Warrant®, and 6 oz/ac Section® 2EC on 6/17/17

**Seed Treatment:** Inoculant, fungicide, Gaucho® insecticide

**Soil Test (January 2017):**

**Foliar Insecticides:** None

**Foliar Fungicides:** None

**Fertilizer:** 116 lb/ac average 11-52-0 (variable rate application), 116 lb/ac average 0-0-60 (variable rate application), and 6 lb/ac average 36% dry Zn (variable rate application)

**Irrigation:** Pivot, Total: 4.1"

**Rainfall (in):**



Sample	pH	Soluble		KCI Nitrate		Mehlich 3	CaPO <sub>4</sub>	Ammonium Acetate				Sum of	DPTA
		Salts	OM	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	P	SO <sub>4</sub> -S	K	Ca	Mg	Na	Cations	Zn
		mS/cm	%	ppm	lb/ac			ppm				meq/100g	ppm
1	6.6	1.1	2.8	13	31	21	71	399	2135	460	145	16	1.1
2	6.7	0.7	2.7	16	38	33	36	461	2491	463	115	18	1.6
3	6.9	0.7	2.8	10	23	31	27	458	2668	479	70	19	2.0
4	6.9	0.6	2.6	11	26	19	23	356	2474	472	67	18	1.5
5	6.5	0.5	2.7	12	29	17	28	389	2470	430	60	17	1.7
6	5.9		2.8	14	34	18	28	327	1816	425	109	16	1.3

**Introduction:** Soybeans were planted following a winter wheat cover crop, which was terminated on 5/24/17. In this study, all soybeans were planted in 30" rows. The check treatment was soybeans planted no-till. This was compared with soybeans planted into strip-till and soybeans planted into strip-till with 100 lb/ac 11-52-0 applied 8" deep in the strip. Strip-till was completed on 5/8/17. The cost for planting was taken from Nebraska Extension 2016 Nebraska Farm Custom Rates – Part 1 (EC823). Strip-till and fertilizer costs were actual costs charged for the custom strip-till operation and fertilizer product.

### Results:

	Moisture (%)	Yield (bu/acre)†	Marginal Net Return‡ (\$/ac)
No-Till	11.3 B	75 B	653.87 A
Strip-Till	12.3 A*	78 AB	646.71 A
Strip-Till with Fertilizer	11.5 AB	81 A	652.42 A
P-Value	0.056	0.029	0.860

\*Values with the same letter are not significantly different at a 90% confidence level.

†Bushels per acre corrected to 13% moisture.

‡Marginal net return based on \$8.90/bu soybean, \$18/ac for planting no-till, \$48/ac for strip-till and planting, and \$69.50/ac for strip-till, additional fertilizer, and planting.

**Summary:**

- Moisture of the strip-till treatment was significantly higher than the no-till treatment. The strip-till with fertilizer was not significantly different than the strip-till or no-till treatments.
- Yield for the strip-till with fertilizer was significantly higher than the no-till treatment. The strip-till alone was not significantly different than the no-till or the strip-till with fertilizer.
- There were no differences in marginal net return. Actual costs for strip-till application vary; therefore, determining your actual costs is important.

---

**Sponsored by:****In Partnership with:**

Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska–Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.