

# Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

#### Conventional tillage vs. No-till Corn

Study ID: 120155199301

County: Saunders

**Year: 1993** 

OBJECTIVE: To determine and document the profitability of a no-till versus conventional tillage

system.

CONVENTIONAL TILLAGE NO-TILL

Treatment: Treatment:

Field Cultivate None

Plant

Herbicide: 3 quarts Bullet, 2 pints

Buctril/Atrazine, 0.334 ounces Accent

Herbicide: 3 quarts Bullet, 2 pints

Buctril/Atrazine, 0.334 ounces Accent

and 28% UAN and 28% UAN

Harvest Harvest

Comparative cost (per acre) Comparative cost (per acre)

Field Cultivation \$5.81 None \$0.00

Total \$5.81 Total \$0.00

### Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project



Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.



## Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

Conventional Tillage vs. No-till Corn Page 2

VARIABLE	1993 CORN
Moisture (%)	
Till	15.2
No-till	15.0
Test weight (pounds/bushel) Till No-till	57.3 57.0
Yield (15.5 %) (bushels/acre)	37.0
Till	123.9 *
No-till	118.3

- \* significantly different at 90% confidence level
- + weather damaged

Summary: The no-till treatment yield was higher than the conventional tillage treatment

yield in 1993 at the 90% confidence level. The field cultivation operation

expense is approximately \$5.81/acre.

### Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project



Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.

University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska–Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.