



Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

No-Tillage vs. Conventional-Tillage in Soybeans
Study ID: 120155199201

County: Saunders
Year: 1992

Objective: To determine and document the effect on profitability of a no-till system versus a conventional-till system

NO-TILLAGE

Treatment:

Herbicide: 6 oz. Canopy and 1.5 pints
Command

Planting

Cultivation

Costs:

Operations \$36.70

Comparative cost

 \$36.70

CONVENTIONAL-TILLAGE

Treatment:

Disking

Field cultivation

Field cultivation

Herbicide: 6 oz. Canopy **and** 1.5 pints
Command

Planting

Cultivation

Costs:

Operations \$52.90

Comparative cost

 \$52.90

Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project

UNIVERSITY OF
Nebraska
Lincoln

Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.

University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.



Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

ON-FARM RESEARCH COMPARISON RESULTS

VARIABLE

Early population	
No-till	103000
Conventional-till	100000
Final population	
No-till	98000 *
Conventional-till	92000 *
Population loss	
No-till	4.4%
Conventional-till	7.8%
Plant height	
No-till	35.9"
Conventional-till	36.3"
Pod height	
No-till	5.5"
Conventional-till	5.5"
Moisture	
No-till	10.7% **
Conventional-till	11.4% **
Sample weight	
No-till	55.5 **
Conventional-till	54.6 **
Yield(13%)	
No-till	52.1
Conventional-till	52.1

* - significantly different at 95% confidence level

** - significantly different at 99% confidence level

Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project



Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.

University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska–Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.