

Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

Narrow vs. Wide Row

Study ID: 070155199101

County: Saunders Year: 1991

OBJECTIVE: To determine and document the profitability of narrow (7") versus wide rows (30").

NARROW ROWS WIDE ROWS

Treatment: Treatment

Discing: incorporation of 1 pint Prowl

Discing: incorporation of 1 pint Prowl

and 0.33 pint Scepter and 0.33 pint Scepter

Field cultivation Field cultivation

Drilling: planting rate of 77 pounds per Planting: planting rate of 62 pounds per

acre; application of 1 pint Prowl acre; application of 1 pint Prowl

Rouging Rotary hoeing

Cultivation

Rouging

Costs: Costs:

Seed \$ 20.79 Seed \$ 16.74

Operations 48.85 Operations 61.42

Compar'ative cost \$ 69.64 Comparative cost \$ 78.16

Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project



Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.

University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska–Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.



Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

Narrow vs. Wide Row Page 2

VARIABLE 1991

Early population

101,000 Narrow row 78,000 Wide row

Final population

Narrow row 105,000 * Wide row 63,000

Plant height

24.5" Narrow row 23.4" Wide row

Pod height

3.3" Narrow row 2.8" Wide row

Moisture

7.3% Narrow row 7.4% Wide row

Test weight

55.5 Narrow row 56.6 Wide row

Yield (13%)

29.4 Narrow row 25.1 Wide row

Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project



Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.

University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.

^{*} significantly different at 95% confidence level ** significantly different at 99% confidence level