



Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

Reduced-Tillage vs. Conventional-Tillage

Study ID: 043155199001

County: Saunders

Year: 1990

OBJECTIVE: To determine and document the effect on profitability of a reduced-till system versus a conventional-till system.

TREATMENT

REDUCED-TILL

Fall disking

Early preplant application of .4 pounds Sencor DF, .67 pints 2,4-D ester, and 1.5 pints Prowl

Planting: Renik

Cultivation

CONVENTIONAL-TILL

Fall disking

Early preplant application of .4 pounds Sencor DF, .67 pints 2,4-D ester, and 1.5 pints Prowl

Field cultivation

Field cultivation

Planting: Resnik

Cultivation

COMPARATIVE COST BUDGET

No comparative costs

Field operations:

Field cultivation(2 times) \$ 7.72

Equipment cost:

Field cultivator (\$8000)

Comparative cost -----
\$0.00

Comparative cost -----
\$ 7.72

Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project



Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.

University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska–Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.



Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

VARIABLE	1990
Early population	
Reduced-till	110000
Conventional-till	103000
Final population	
Reduced-till	113000
Conventional-till	106000
Plant height	
Reduced-till	36.0"
Conventional-till	36.1"
Pod height	
Reduced-till	4.6"
Conventional-till	4.9"
Moisture	
Reduced-till	10.2%
Conventional-till	10.4%
Sample weight	
Reduced-till	55.7
Conventional-till	55.9
Yield (13%)	
Reduced-till	40.8
Conventional-till	40.1

Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project



Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.

University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska–Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.