

Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

Sugar on Corn

Study ID: 038035201402

County: Clay

Soil Type: Hastings silt loam Planting Date: 5/3/2014 Harvest Date: Unknown Population: 34,000 Row Spacing: 30" Hybrid: DK 63-07

Reps: 5

Previous Crop: Corn

Tillage: Ridge till, stalks shredded 4/25/2014

Herbicides: Pre: None

Post: 1 qt/ac Roundup PowerMAX and 1 qt/ac

TripleFLEX on 5/26/2014

Insecticides/Fungicides: Acceleron

Fertilizers: 6 gal/ac 10-34-0 in furrow, 229 lb/ac 46-0-0, 50 lb/ac 11-50-0, 15 lb/ac sulfur, 3 lb/ac zinc broadcast.

Irrigation: Furrow irrigation, amount unknown.

Rainfall:



Introduction: This is the fourth year these producers have applied sugar to their corn fields in which their objective was to determine the impact of sugar application on corn yield, economics, and standability. In 2010-2011, 3 pounds of granulated sugar/acre in 10 gallons of water was applied at the V7-V8 time-frame. In 2013, 3 qts of Plen-T-Sweet/acre was added to 10 gallons of water and applied at the V7-V8 time-frame. The company does not recommend more than 1 qt of Plen-T-Sweet/acre for all future applications. In 2014, 10 oz of liquid brown sugar was applied in 10 gallons of water during V7. Three of the four years resulted in no statistically significant yield increase with the sugar application. In 2013, the check treatment yielded significantly higher than the Plen-T-Sweet treatment. It is thought the high rate of sugar coupled with gravity irrigation problems of getting water through the rows in a portion of the field which had the sugar-treated plots contributed to the yield differences. Soil tests taken for microbial activity (Phospholipid Fatty Acid-PLFA) in 2013 resulted in higher microbial activity in the sugar treated plots, but they were not statistically significant. An interesting trend is that the sugar treated plots consistently showed reduced stalk rot compared to the untreated check. Statistics were only conducted for stalk rot in 2014 in which the difference was not statistically significant.

Sponsored by:



In partnership with:







Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.

University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska–Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.



Nebraska On-Farm Research Network

Producer	Avg Yield Check	Avg Yield Sugar	
2010 Clay Co. (6 reps) 3 lb gran, Sugar/ac	208.9 (22% stalk rot)	210.6 us (3% stalk rot)	
2011 Clay Co. (6 reps) 3 lb gran. Sugar/ac	209.6 (19% stalk rot)	213.2 ns (12% stalk rot)	
2013 Clay Co. (6 reps) 3 qts Plen-T-Sweet**/ac	222.6 (19% stalk rot)	214.2* (16% stalk rot)	
2014 Clay Co. (6 reps) 13 oz liquid brown sugar/ac	226.2 (24% stalk rot)	228.6 ns (16% stalk rot) ns	

Results:

	Yield†	Moisture	Test Weight	Harvest Pop	Stalk Rot	Net
	(bu/acre)	(%)	(lb/bu)		(%)	Return ‡
Check	226 A*	14.5 A	63.0 A	28,600 A	24.2 A	\$791.00
Liquid brown sugar	229 A	14.6 A	63.2 A	29,200 A	16.0 A	\$791.65
P-Value	0.5548	0.8420	0.7100	0.7607	0.2856	

[†]Bushels per acre corrected to 15.5% moisture.

Summary: There was no significant yield, moisture, test weight, harvest population, or stalk rot difference between the check and the liquid brown sugar treatment.

Sponsored by:



In partnership with:







Extension is a Division of the Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln cooperating with the Counties and the United States Department of Agriculture.

University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension educational programs abide with the nondiscrimination policies of the University of Nebraska–Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.

^{*}Values with the same letter are not significantly different at a 90% confidence level.

[‡]Net return based on \$3.50/bu, \$3.04/ac liquid brown sugar cost, \$6.81/ac application cost.